PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

International application No.

See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/FI2005/000004 04.01.2005 05.01.2004

FOR FURTHER ACTION

International Fatent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C13K1/06, C08B30/12

Applicant KEMIRA O'YJ

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

 This comion contains indications relating to the following items 	1.	This opinion	contains i	indications	relating to	the following	items:
--	----	--------------	------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	--------

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

☐ Bo) No. II Priority

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV

Boy No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Boy No. VI Certain documents cited

Bo No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Boy No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this cpinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. 5/11-05

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Lensen, H

Telephone:No. +31 70 340-2428

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/FI2005/000004

IAPZUREC'd PCT/PTO 30 JUN 2006

	Box No. Basis of the opinion						
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
	a sequence listing						
	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. format of material:						
	in written format						
	☐ in computer readable form						
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
	Contained in the international application as filed.						
	In filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4:	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/FI2005/000004

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/585055 AP20Rec'dPCT/PTO30 JUN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/FI2005/000004

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1). Reference is made to the following documents:

D1:JP-A-01225601

& PAJ Volume 13, no. 549 (C-662)

D2:US-B1-6250721

D3: Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2002, Volume 124, pages 4974-4975

2). Art. 33(2) PCT:

The present application meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

No document of the available prior art discloses unambiguously the depolymerization of starch, dissolved in an ionic liquid, at a specific temperature and specified period of time.

3) Art. 33(3) PCT:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses a depolymerization process of starch by microwave heating in the absence of an acid or a base catalyst and without an enzyme.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known process in that the starch is dissolved in an ionic liquid solvent.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as to provide a new process for the selective and quantitative depolymerization of starch. The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

D2 gives an clear suggestion that starch is degraded in an ionic liquid (see column 5, lines 14-16 and line 35.

D3 discloses the dissolution and decomposition of cellulose with ionic liquids.

The skilled person would easily find an incentive in D2 and/or D3 to adapt the teaching of D1 and come to something which falls within the terms of the claims of the present

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

invention.

4). Dependent claims 2-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1-D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.